Case 3:15-cv-02496-G-BF Document 13	Filed 02/08/16 🦵	Page 1	of 3 PageID 48
		NOW	recy incompany of tenan Policio
IN THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN D DALLAS DI	ISTRICT OF TE		<b>FEB</b> - 8 2016
ROBERTO BARRIENTOS, Petitioner,		CLEA By_	E. U.S. DESCENCE
v.	) No. 3:15	-CV-249	96-G
WILLIAM STEPHENS, Director, TDCJ-CID, Respondent	)		

# FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and an order of the District Court, this case has been referred to the United States Magistrate Judge. The findings, conclusions and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge follow:

#### **Statement of Case:**

Petitioner filed this petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On September 2, 2015, the Court sent Petitioner a notice of deficiency because Petitioner failed to file his petition on the appropriate form. The Court also sent Petitioner a § 2254 form. The notice of deficiency informed Petitioner that failure to cure the deficiency within thirty days could result in a recommendation that this petition be dismissed. More than thirty days have passed, and Petitioner has failed to respond to the Court's order.

#### **Discussion:**

Under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows a court to dismiss an action *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the federal rules or any court order. *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998). "This authority [under Rule

41(b)] flows from the court's inherent power to control its docket and prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases." *Boudwin v. Graystone Ins. Co., Ltd.*, 756 F.2d 399, 401 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) (citing *Link v. Wabash, R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962)). Petitioner has failed to comply with the Court's order. Accordingly, his petition for writ of habeas corpus should be dismissed for want of prosecution.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Court recommends that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) and that all pending motions be denied without prejudice.

Signed this day of replace 16.

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).